

ArcArticle iThenticate

By Kim Yasutis

WORD COUNT

5610

TIME SUBMITTED

25-OCT-2017 10:32AM

PAPER ID

32444561

Title: A mock manuscript for the purpose of showing how to read an iThenticate report.

ABSTRACT

Most of this document will contain dummy text, which will be used to represent non-plagiarized work. In some places, illustrative examples have been placed in the manuscript to show representative examples of text that overlaps (i.e., is plagiarized) or is similar to previously published work. For example, the following sentence was copied verbatim from a manuscript published by Yasutis et al., (2000) in *Molecular Biology of the Cell*. "We identified a conserved domain in the C-terminus of Zds2p consisting of amino acids 813–912 (hereafter referred to as ZH4 for Zds homology 4) that is required for regulation of Swe1p-dependent polarized bud growth." Most of the "plagiarized" text, unless otherwise attributed, will come from that manuscript. THE REST OF THIS ABSTRACT IS DUMMY TEXT SO THAT THE ITHENTICATE PROGRAM DOES NOT FLAG IT AS PLAGIARIZED.

INTRODUCTION

This is the dummy text for the introduction section. It is tempting, when setting up the background information for your manuscript, to use the exact or similar wording of articles that you have read during your research. However, this practice should be avoided as it is considered plagiarism. For example, the following 2 sentences are directly copied from the manuscript mentioned in the abstract. <Among the cell cycle mechanisms that regulate the progression of these events are checkpoints that arrest or retard the cell cycle when activated in response to cellular damage or perturbation. The entry into mitosis, for example, is regulated by a checkpoint at G2/M that is a key DNA damage and cell size surveillance step>. As you can see, this text is correctly flagged by the iThenticate system as being highly similar to a previously published text. If you would like to convey this information in your manuscript, a best practice is to revise the concept in your own words AND ensure that you have references the manuscript in which you found the intellectual information (the primary source would be best). For example, this text could be rewritten as follows: <Checkpoints regulate progression of the cell cycle by arresting cells in response to damage or other perturbing events (Reference Author Name, Year)>. While less common in the sciences, it may be necessary to provide a quote from previously published text verbatim. At which point, quotation marks should be used and the proper reference should also be given, as in the following example. "There is nothing either good or bad, but thinking makes it so" (Shakespeare's Hamlet).

The rest of the Introduction is either gibberish or text derived from (Yasutis et al., 2000). THIS PART OF THE TEXT IS MEANT TO BE REPEATED GIBBERISH SO THAT THE ITHENTICATE PROGRAM DOES NOT FLAG IT AS OVERLAPPING TEXT. THIS PART OF THE TEXT IS COMPLETELY FAKE AND MEANT TO BE GIBBERISH SO THAT THE ITHENTICATE PROGRAM DOES NOT FLAG IT AS OVERLAPPING TEXT. THIS PART OF THE TEXT IS COMPLETELY FAKE AND MEANT TO BE GIBBERISH SO THAT THE ITHENTICATE PROGRAM DOES NOT FLAG IT AS OVERLAPPING TEXT. THIS PART OF THE TEXT IS COMPLETELY FAKE AND MEANT TO BE GIBBERISH SO THAT THE ITHENTICATE PROGRAM DOES NOT FLAG IT AS OVERLAPPING TEXT. "Although recent work has revealed that the DNA replication checkpoint controlled by Rad53p crosstalks with the

MEANT TO BE GIBBERISH SO THAT THE ITHENTICATE PROGRAM DOES NOT FLAG IT AS OVERLAPPING TEXT. THIS PART OF THE TEXT IS COMPLETELY FAKE AND MEANT TO BE GIBBERISH SO THAT THE ITHENTICATE PROGRAM DOES NOT FLAG IT AS OVERLAPPING TEXT. THIS PART OF THE TEXT IS COMPLETELY FAKE AND MEANT TO BE GIBBERISH SO THAT THE ITHENTICATE PROGRAM DOES NOT FLAG IT AS OVERLAPPING TEXT. THIS PART OF THE TEXT IS COMPLETELY FAKE AND MEANT TO BE GIBBERISH SO THAT THE ITHENTICATE PROGRAM DOES NOT FLAG IT AS OVERLAPPING TEXT. THIS PART OF THE TEXT IS COMPLETELY FAKE AND MEANT TO BE GIBBERISH SO THAT THE ITHENTICATE PROGRAM DOES NOT FLAG IT AS OVERLAPPING TEXT. THIS PART OF THE TEXT IS COMPLETELY FAKE AND MEANT TO BE GIBBERISH SO THAT THE ITHENTICATE PROGRAM DOES NOT FLAG IT AS OVERLAPPING TEXT. THIS PART OF THE TEXT IS COMPLETELY FAKE AND MEANT TO BE GIBBERISH SO THAT THE ITHENTICATE PROGRAM DOES NOT FLAG IT AS OVERLAPPING TEXT.

THIS PART OF THE TEXT IS COMPLETELY FAKE AND MEANT TO BE GIBBERISH SO THAT THE ITHENTICATE PROGRAM DOES NOT FLAG IT AS OVERLAPPING TEXT. THIS PART OF THE TEXT IS COMPLETELY FAKE AND MEANT TO BE GIBBERISH SO THAT THE ITHENTICATE PROGRAM DOES NOT FLAG IT AS OVERLAPPING TEXT. THIS PART OF THE TEXT IS COMPLETELY FAKE AND MEANT TO BE GIBBERISH SO THAT THE ITHENTICATE PROGRAM DOES NOT FLAG IT AS OVERLAPPING TEXT. THIS PART OF THE TEXT IS COMPLETELY FAKE AND MEANT TO BE GIBBERISH SO THAT THE ITHENTICATE PROGRAM DOES NOT FLAG IT AS OVERLAPPING TEXT. THIS PART OF THE TEXT IS COMPLETELY FAKE AND MEANT TO BE GIBBERISH SO THAT THE ITHENTICATE PROGRAM DOES NOT FLAG IT AS OVERLAPPING TEXT. THIS PART OF THE TEXT IS COMPLETELY FAKE AND MEANT TO BE GIBBERISH SO THAT THE ITHENTICATE PROGRAM DOES NOT FLAG IT AS OVERLAPPING TEXT. THIS PART OF THE TEXT IS COMPLETELY FAKE AND MEANT TO BE GIBBERISH SO THAT THE ITHENTICATE PROGRAM DOES NOT FLAG IT AS OVERLAPPING TEXT. THIS PART OF THE TEXT IS COMPLETELY FAKE AND MEANT TO BE GIBBERISH SO THAT THE ITHENTICATE PROGRAM DOES NOT FLAG IT AS OVERLAPPING TEXT. THIS PART OF THE TEXT IS COMPLETELY FAKE AND MEANT TO BE GIBBERISH SO THAT THE ITHENTICATE PROGRAM DOES NOT FLAG IT AS OVERLAPPING TEXT.

RESULTS

The results section should be the region with the lowest amount of text that is similar to previously published works. Text that overlaps with previous publications in this section is looked at with even more suspicion than in any other section, although the Conclusion and Abstract sections come at a close second. As an example, the following text found in a new manuscript would likely flag the manuscript for desk rejection and, possibly, banning from the journal because it clearly describes results that have already been published. "Using a degenerate PCR approach, we introduced random mutations in ZDS2 between codons 821 and 906 and screened, on the basis of colony size, a zds1 strain background, for alleles that confer a growth defect at 37°C. We found in this study that one allele identified in the screen, zds2-3R863H,V868A, had a temperature-dependent bud morphology phenotype. At 25°C, zds1 zds2-3 cells formed buds with a wild-type morphology."

THIS PART OF THE TEXT IS COMPLETELY FAKE AND MEANT TO BE GIBBERISH SO THAT THE ITHENTICATE PROGRAM DOES NOT FLAG IT AS OVERLAPPING TEXT. THIS PART OF THE TEXT IS COMPLETELY FAKE AND MEANT TO BE GIBBERISH SO THAT THE ITHENTICATE PROGRAM DOES NOT FLAG IT AS OVERLAPPING TEXT. THIS PART OF THE TEXT IS COMPLETELY FAKE AND MEANT TO BE GIBBERISH SO THAT THE ITHENTICATE PROGRAM DOES NOT FLAG IT AS OVERLAPPING TEXT. THIS PART OF THE TEXT IS COMPLETELY FAKE AND MEANT TO BE GIBBERISH SO THAT THE ITHENTICATE PROGRAM DOES NOT FLAG IT AS OVERLAPPING TEXT. THIS PART OF THE TEXT IS COMPLETELY FAKE AND MEANT TO BE GIBBERISH SO THAT THE ITHENTICATE PROGRAM DOES NOT FLAG IT AS OVERLAPPING TEXT.

ArcArticle iThenticate

ORIGINALITY REPORT

9%

SIMILARITY INDEX

PRIMARY SOURCES

| | | |
|---|--|----------------|
| 1 | www.molbiolcell.org Internet | 394 words — 7% |
| 2 | is.landesbioscience.com Internet | 94 words — 2% |
| 3 | "Investigators at University of Virginia target molecular cell biology.", Proteomics Weekly, Jan 10 2011 Issue Publications | 6 words — < 1% |

EXCLUDE QUOTES OFF

EXCLUDE MATCHES OFF

EXCLUDE BIBLIOGRAPHY ON